

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/580,999	03/12/2007	Julia Y. Ljubimova	67789-118US0 (old)	9455		
48425 LAWSON & V	7590 02/04/2011 WEITZEN, LLP	EXAM	EXAMINER			
88 BLACK FA		EPPS -SMITH, JANET L				
SUITE 345 BOSTON, MA	\ 02210	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			1633	1633		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			02/04/2011	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/580,999	LJUBIMOVA ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Janet L. Epps-Smith	1633		

	Janet L. Epps-Smith	1033							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED 28 January 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.									
I. Material The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compilance with 37 CFR 1.1.14. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing									
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.									
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the wave been fields the date for purposes of determining the period of a studied of the control of the studied of t	ension and the corresponding amount of nortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ite extension fee e action; or (2) as						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).									
AMENDMENTS									
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b			cause						
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further con (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		E below),							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better		ducing or simplifying the	ne issues for						
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a o	orrosponding number of finally rais	atad alaima							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11		cted ciairis.							
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mnliant Amendment (PTOL -324)						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		inplicate / time frame (TOL OLT,						
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allo		imely filed amendmer	t canceling the						
non-allowable claim(s).	orabio il casimitoa ili a coparato, i	aniony mod annonamon	it our rooming the						
7. ☐ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:									
Claim(s) allowed:									
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-13 and 18-23 will remain rejected for the reasons of record.									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 									
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	ercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER									
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are directed to the claims as amended. Since the amendment of 1/28/2011 has not been entered,									
Applicant's arguments are considered moot.									
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).									
13. Other:									
	(Janet L. Franc Creith)								
	/Janet L. Epps-Smith/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1633							

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicants have amended claim 1 to recite "wherein the scalfold comprises a polymalic acid or a polymalate derivative." The introduction of the term "polymalate derivative" into the claim requires further search and consideration due to the breadth of this limitation. Additionally, Applicants have added new claims 29-32. These newly added claims require further search and consideration.